This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/644,540 | 08/20/2003 | Akio Murata | 8861-383U1 (P22272-01) | 6535 | |
| 570 | 7590 07/15/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. | | | RENNER, | RENNER, CRAIG A | |
| | ERCE SQUARE ET STREET, SUITE 220 | 00 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | HIA, PA 19103-7013 | | 2652 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | | | _ |
|--|---|--|------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - S |
| | 10/644,540 | MURATA ET AL. | U |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Craig A. Renner | 2652 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addre | ss |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. & 133). | unication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allower | | secution as to the m | erits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | 0,110,10 |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | · | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/are: | | to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | • • • | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No. <u>09/545,735</u> . ed in this National Sta | age |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-6. | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | ite | 2) |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Art Unit: 2652

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copies have been filed in parent Application No. 09/545,735, filed on 10 April 2000.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 20 August 2003. These drawings are accepted.

Specification

- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2652

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In lines 5-6 of claim 1, "said insulation substrate" is indefinite because it lacks clear and/or positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gill (US 6,278,589).

Gill teaches a magnetic reproduction device comprising a magnetic pole (SV1) of a multi-layer film including first magnetic films (212, 234, and 232, for instance) and first non-magnetic films (208 and 230, for instance) alternately laminated on an insulation substrate (76); a main magnetic pole core (SV2) including soft second magnetic films (238, 240, and 214, for instance, lines 10-17 in column 7, for instance, i.e., "CoFe" and "NiFe" are soft magnetic materials) and second non-magnetic films (236 and 210, for

Art Unit: 2652

instance) alternately laminated on the magnetic pole formed of the multi-layer film (as shown in FIG. 12, for instance); a pair of conductors (134 and 136) formed on the insulation substrate and electrically connected to both ends of the main magnetic pole core, respectively (as shown in FIG. 11, for instance); a first pair of electrode terminals (at the distal end of 112 and 114, for instance) connected to the pair of conductors, respectively; and a second pair of electrode terminals (104 and 106, for instance, at the proximal end of 112 and 114, respectively) connected to the conductors, respectively [as per claim 2]; wherein the magnetic pole formed of the multi-layer film has a projection portion (as shown in FIG. 12, for instance) opposite to a recording medium (34) [as per claim 3]. With respect to the intended use limitations appearing in lines 13-15 and 17-18 claim 2, note that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus (i.e., "magnetic reproduction device") is intended to be employed (i.e., "to supply a high-frequency current from an external constant current source to the conductors" and "to output a voltage generated by said high-frequency current", for instance) does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations, Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (PTO BPAI 1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2652

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US 5,491,600) in view of Walraven (US 4,19,871).

Chen teaches a magnetic reproduction device comprising a main magnetic pole core including soft magnetic films (65 and 75, for instance) and non-magnetic films (70 and 80, for instance) laminated alternately on a nonmagnetic substrate (41/60, for instance); a pair of conductors (38 and 40, for instance) formed on the insulation substrate and electrically connected to both ends of the main magnetic pole core, respectively (as shown in Fig. 2, for instance); a first pair of electrode terminals (on each side of 45, for instance) connected to the pair of conductors, respectively; a power source (45) connected across the first pair of electrode terminals to supply a current to the conductors (lines 17-19 in column 5, for instance); and a second pair of electrode terminals (on each side of 43, for instance) connected to the conductors, respectively, to output a voltage generated by the current (lines 13-17 in column 5, for instance). Chen, however, remains silent as to the power source being a "high-frequency current" power source.

Art Unit: 2652

Walraven teaches a power source (2) connected across a first pair of electrode terminals to supply a current to conductors of a soft magnetic film pole core (1), and a second pair of electrode terminals connected to the conductors, respectively, to output a voltage (V_S) generated by the current. Walraven additionally teaches that the power source can be either "a direct current or an alternating current" (lines 37-40 in column 1, for instance). Walraven, however, teaches that it is preferable that the power source be a "high frequency current" in order to "achieve a large sensitivity" (line 62 on page 1 thru line 2 in column 2, for instance). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have had the power source of Chen be a high-frequency current power source as taught by Walraven. The rationale is as follows:

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have had the power source of Chen be a high-frequency current power source as taught by Walraven since such increases sensitivity.

Pertinent Prior Art

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This includes Akiyama et al. (US 4,593,332), which teaches a magnetic reproduction device with alternately laminated soft magnetic and non-magnetic films through which a high frequency current flows (lines 9-10 in column 3, for instance); and Araki et al. (US 6,738,234), which teaches a magnetic reproduction

Art Unit: 2652

device with alternately laminated soft magnetic and non-magnetic films and having a portion thereof defining a projection portion.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

CAR